

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,551 09/21/2001		1/2001	Paul S. White	TN-1631-A 6452	
7:	590	05/08/2002			
Black & Deck			EXAMINER		
701 E. Joppa Road, TW-199 Towson, MD 21286				MAPLES, JOHN S	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1745	.3
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/960,551	WHITE ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		John S. Maples	1745	
Dari	The MAILING DATE of this communication app od for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
P	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	I(S) FROM	
- - -	HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	nys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communicatio ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
State	ıs			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2 a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			is
•	osition of Claims	application		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 and 35-47 in are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
_) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wii iioiii coiisideratioii.		
)			
_) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
, 9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement		
Appl	ication Papers	orodion rodanomena		
g)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b)□ objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	oved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.		
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Prio	ity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	· /		ion)
1-4,	a) The translation of the foreign language pro	•		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	0 and/or 121.	
	nment(s)	🗖		
2) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152) for Allowance .	
S. Pater	at and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 1745

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-18 and 35-47 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-47 of U.S. Patent No. 6,326,101. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present application sets forth two pairs of opposing walls while the patent sets forth a front wall/back wall and a pair of opposing walls. Such designations of the walls in the housing between the present application and the indicated patent would have been obvious in view of one another because the two are obvious variants of the same elements. It would have been obvious to substitute one for the other.
- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Anthony, III et al. and Clowers both disclose various battery packs attached to a power tool with latches.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record does not teach the limitations of claim 1 wherein a number of cells in a first plane are less than a number of cells disposed in a second plane, the first plane passing through

Art Unit: 1745

the latches while the second plane passes through other portions of the pack. Also the limitations of claim 35 are not shown by the prior art of record, which features include the different distances along the periphery of the battery pack

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is 703-308-1795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:15-3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or preceding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

John S. Maples Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745